



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,615	03/22/2004	Takaaki Ota	SONY-50R4614.CIP	2638
7590 04/24/2009 WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Third Floor Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113			EXAMINER TAYLOR, JOSHUA D	
			ART UNIT 2426	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/24/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/806,615

**Applicant(s)**

OTA ET AL.

**Examiner**

JOSHUA TAYLOR

**Art Unit**

2426

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 April 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SF/ICE)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reitmeier (Patent No.: 6,115,080) in view of West et al. (Pub. No.: US 2003/0110514).

Regarding claim 1, Reitmeier discloses **a method for displaying digital content comprising: using a first tuner to access a first transport stream** (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 49-56); **displaying in a main picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said first transport stream** (column 4, lines 64-67); **using a second tuner during spare periods to access a second transport stream** (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65); **decoding digital content from said second transport stream and caching said digital content into a memory buffer** (column 5, lines 8-12); **and upon said first tuner being switched to a new channel associated with said program information stored in said memory buffer, recalling said digital content for use in providing a fast channel**

**change operation to said new channel** (column 9, line 64 – column 10, line 3). However, Reitmeier does not disclose wherein **the first transport stream is associated with a first frequency and the second transport stream is associated with a second frequency**, nor does Reitmeier disclose **recalling said digital content from said memory buffer for use in providing a fast channel change operation to said new channel**. However, West discloses that multiple tuners can be used to tune to various different frequencies, so that a receiving unit can more easily receive larger amounts of information (paragraphs [0047] and [0081]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the capability of West to have multiple tuners receiving multiple frequencies with the method of Reitmeier, where multiple tuners are used to expediate a channel change operation. This would have produced predictable and desirable results, as it would allow receiving units to rapidly change channels even if the channels were sent on different frequencies.

Furthermore, West discloses that content from a previously watched channel can be stored in a buffer so that if the user wants to switch back to the previous channel, the material that the user had been watching will be available immediately from the buffer (Figs. 4A – 4D, paragraphs [0085]-[0088]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to take the rapid channel change method of Reitmeier, which works in part by storing segments of channels which have been selected because of a user's behavior (i.e. it is thought the user will tune to one of these channels), and combining it with the buffering technique of West, which functions by saving segments of channels it knows the user has visited, and thus may return to, in a buffer for the user to later access. This would have produced predictable and desirable results, in that the user would have rapid access to the

buffered digital content of the channel to which said user tuned, which could keep the user from being frustrated by perceived relatively long channel change wait times.

Regarding claim 2, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 1**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said second tuner is normally dedicated to picture-in-picture rendering on said display screen** (column 4, lines 34-38, Fig. 1, element V2, column 5, lines 23-33).

Regarding claim 3, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 2**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said digital content comprises table information associated with said second transport stream** (column 14, lines 26-40). Reitmeier states that there is a standard manner for extracting program map tables; i.e. table information, from a transport stream. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to extract table information. Because one skilled in the art would know that table information is often associated with a transport stream, it would be desirable to combine this element into the method of claim 1 so that said table information could be accessed.

Regarding claim 4, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 3**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said table information is derived from a program association table that is encoded in said second transport stream** (column 14, lines 26-40). This claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 3.

Regarding claim 5, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 2**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said digital content comprises decoded I frames of said new channel** (column 10, lines 5-7).

Regarding claim 6, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 2**, and Reitmeier further discloses **further comprising: using said second tuner to scan through a plurality of frequencies over time to access a plurality of transport streams; decoding digital content from said plurality of transport streams; and caching said digital content decoded from said plurality of transport streams in said memory buffer** (column 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 7, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 1**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said first transport stream and said second transport stream are the same and wherein said first frequency and said second frequency are the same** (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65. The method of Reitmeier discloses only one frequency.).

Regarding claim 8, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 2**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said digital content cached to said memory buffer is associated with a channel that is a predicted next channel which is predicted based on previous channel selections** (column 3, lines 18-25, column 7, lines 40-61).

It would have been desirable to have the channel in the memory buffer predicted based on a previous channel selection. This would be a desirable feature because the existence of a buffered channel only reduces channel change time if the channel to which the viewer changes is one that is being buffered.

Claim 9 is similar to the method of claim 1, except that instead of two tuners associated with two frequencies, method 9 discloses three tuners associated with three frequencies. The

method of claim 1 was rejected as unpatentable over Reitmeier in view of West, and the method of claim 9 is rejected on the same grounds as claim 1. West discloses a system in which multiple tuners tune to multiple frequencies, and states that **“Although a 2-tuner environment is illustrated here, it will be understood from the context of the below description that composite buffering works similarly for two or more tuners** (paragraph [0087], lines 1-5),” and so the obvious combination of Reitmeier and West includes a method with three tuners and three frequencies.

Regarding claim 10, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 9**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said second tuner is normally dedicated for picture-in-picture rendering on said display screen** (column 4, lines 34-38, Fig. 1, element V2, column 5, lines 23-33).

Regarding claim 11, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 9**, and further disclose **wherein in response to a channel change to said third tuner, performing the following: using said third tuner to access said third transport stream; displaying in said main picture area of said display screen, said new channel associated with said third transport stream; using said first tuner to access a fourth transport stream associated with a fourth frequency; and decoding digital content from said fourth transport stream and caching said digital content into said memory buffer** (West, paragraph [0087], lines 1-5). The obvious combination of Reitmeier and West includes a method with four tuners and four frequencies.

Regarding claim 12, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 9**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said digital content comprises decoded I-frames of said new channel** (column 10, lines 5-7). With the digital video compression techniques commonly used at the time of the invention, it was necessary to have an I-frame to view a complete image, and so if the intent is to display a complete image from a digital stream, an I-frame is necessary.

Regarding claim 13, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 12**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said digital content further comprises table information associated with said third transport stream** (column 14, lines 26-40). Because one skilled in the art would know that table information is often associated with a transport stream, it would be desirable to combine this element into the method of claim 12 so that said table information could be accessed.

Regarding claim 14, the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 9**, and disclose **further comprising: using said third tuner to scan through a plurality of frequencies over time to access a plurality of transport streams; decoding digital content from said plurality of transport streams; and caching said digital content decoded from said plurality of transport streams to said memory buffer** (West, paragraph [0087], lines 1-5). It would be desirable to use as many tuners as were available, so as to maximize the number of buffered channels and increase the likelihood of decreased channel change time. Therefore, this claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 9.

Regarding claim 15 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 9**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said second digital content**



**cached to said memory buffer is associated with a channel that is a predicted next channel which is predicted based on previous channel selections** (column 3, lines 18-25, column 7, lines 40-61). It would have been desirable to have the channel in the memory buffer predicted based on a previous channel selection. This would be a desirable feature because the existence of a buffered channel only reduces channel change time if the channel to which the viewer changes is one that is being buffered.

Regarding claim 16 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 15, and Reitmeier further discloses wherein said first digital content cached to said memory buffer is associated with another channel that is a predicted next channel which is predicted based on previous channel selections** (column 3, lines 18-25, column 7, lines 40-61). It would have been desirable to have the channel in the memory buffer predicted based on a previous channel selection. This would be a desirable feature because the existence of a buffered channel only reduces channel change time if the channel to which the viewer changes is one that is being buffered.

Regarding claim 17, Reitmeier discloses **a method for displaying digital content comprising: using a first tuner to access a first transport stream** (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 49-56); **displaying in a main picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said first transport stream** (column 4, lines 64-67); **using a second tuner to access a second transport stream** (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65); **decoding table information from said second transport stream and caching said table information into a memory buffer, said table information comprising program identifications for programs of said second transport stream** (column 14, lines 26-40); and

**upon a channel change to a new channel associated with said second transport stream, recalling said table information for use in providing a fast channel change operation to said new channel** (column 9, line 64 – column 10, line 3, and column 14, lines 26-40). Reitmeier does not explicitly disclose wherein the first transport stream is **associated with a first frequency**, that the second transport stream is **associated with a second frequency**, nor does Reitmeier disclose **recalling said digital content from said memory buffer for use in providing a fast channel change operation to said new channel**. However, West discloses that multiple tuners can be used to tune to various different frequencies, so that a receiving unit can more easily receive larger amounts of information (paragraphs [0047] and [0081]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the capability of West to have multiple tuners receiving multiple frequencies with the method of Reitmeier, where multiple tuners are used to expediate a channel change operation. This would have produced predictable and desirable results, as it would allow receiving units to rapidly change channels even if the channels were sent on different frequencies.

Furthermore, West discloses that content from a previously watched channel can be stored in a buffer so that if the user wants to switch back to the previous channel, the material that the user had been watching will be available immediately from the buffer (Figs. 4A – 4D, paragraphs [0085]-[0088]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to take the rapid channel change method of Reitmeier, which works in part by storing segments of channels which have been selected because of a user's behavior (i.e. it is thought the user will tune to one of these channels), and combining it with the buffering technique of West, which functions by saving segments of channels it knows the user

has visited, and thus may return to, in a buffer for the user to later access. This would have produced predictable and desirable results, in that the user would have rapid access to the buffered digital content of the channel to which said user tuned, which could keep the user from being frustrated by perceived relatively long channel change wait times.

Regarding claim 18 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 17, and Reitmeier further discloses further comprising: decoding I-frames associated with programs of said second transport stream; and caching said I-frames to said memory buffer; and upon said channel change to said new channel, also recalling cached I-frames for use in providing said fast channel change operation to said new channel** (column 10, lines 5-7). With the digital video compression techniques commonly used at the time of the invention, it was necessary to have an I-frame to view a complete image, and so if the intent is to display a complete image from a digital stream, an I-frame is necessary.

Regarding claim 19 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 17, and Reitmeier further discloses wherein said second tuner is normally dedicated to picture-in-picture rendering on said display screen** (column 4, lines 34-38, Fig. 1, element V2, column 5, lines 23-33).

Regarding claim 20 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 17, and Reitmeier discloses further comprising: using said second tuner to also scan through a plurality of frequencies over time to access a plurality of transport streams; and decoding and caching a plurality of table informations from said plurality of transport streams to said memory buffer** (column 15, lines 30-38). It would be desirable to

use as many tuners as were available, so as to maximize the number of buffered channels and increase the likelihood of decreased channel change time.

Regarding claim 21 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 17**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said new channel is a predicted next channel predicted based on prior channel selections** (column 3, lines 18-25). It would have been desirable to have the channel in the memory buffer predicted based on a previous channel selection. This would be a desirable feature because the existence of a buffered channel only reduces channel change time if the channel to which the viewer changes is one that is being buffered.

Regarding claim 22 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 17**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said first transport stream and said second transport stream are the same** (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65. The method of Reitmeier discloses only one frequency.).

Regarding claim 23, Reitmeier discloses **a method for displaying digital content comprising: using a first tuner and a first decoder to access and decode a first transport stream** (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 49-56); **displaying in a main picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said first transport stream** (column 4, lines 64-67); **using a second decoder to decode a second program** (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65) **and caching said decoded second program into a memory buffer** (column 5, lines 8-12); **upon a channel change to a new channel associated with said second program, recalling said decoded second program and displaying said decoded second**

**program in said main picture area of said display screen to provide a fast channel change operation to said new channel** (column 9, line 64 – column 10, line 3). Reitmeier does not explicitly disclose wherein the first transport stream is associated with a first frequency, nor does Reitmeier disclose **recalling said decoded second program from said memory buffer for displaying said decoded second program in said main picture area of said display screen to provide a fast channel change operation to said new channel**. However, West discloses that multiple tuners can be used to tune to various different frequencies, so that a receiving unit can more easily receive larger amounts of information (paragraphs [0047] and [0081]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the capability of West to have multiple tuners receiving multiple frequencies with the method of Reitmeier, where multiple tuners are used to expediate a channel change operation. This would have produced predictable and desirable results, as it would allow receiving units to rapidly change channels even if the channels were sent on different frequencies.

Furthermore, West discloses that content from a previously watched channel can be stored in a buffer so that if the user wants to switch back to the previous channel, the material that the user had been watching will be available immediately from the buffer (Figs. 4A – 4D, paragraphs [0085]-[0088]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to take the rapid channel change method of Reitmeier, which works in part by storing segments of channels which have been selected because of a user's behavior (i.e. it is thought the user will tune to one of these channels), and combining it with the buffering technique of West, which functions by saving segments of channels it knows the user has visited, and thus may return to, in a buffer for the user to later access. This would have

produced predictable and desirable results, in that the user would have rapid access to the buffered digital content of the channel to which said user tuned, which could keep the user from being frustrated by perceived relatively long channel change wait times.

Regarding claim 24 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 23**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said first transport stream comprises said second program** (Fig. 1, elements 10A and 10B, column 3, lines 57-65. The method of Reitmeier discloses only one frequency.).

Regarding claim 25 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 23**, and Reitmeier further discloses **wherein said second decoder is a spare decoder and wherein said second program is a predicted next program** (Reitmeier, column 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 26 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 23**, and further disclose **wherein said second program is associated with a second transport stream and further comprising: using a second tuner to access said second transport stream** (West, paragraphs [0047] and [0081]). This claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 23.

Regarding claim 27 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 23**, and further disclose **further comprising: using a second tuner and a third decoder to access and decode a second transport stream associated with a second frequency; and displaying in a picture-in-picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said second transport stream** (Reitmeier, column 4, lines 34-37, and West,

paragraphs [0047] and [0081]). It would be desirable to use as many tuners as were available, so as to maximize the number of buffered channels and increase the likelihood of decreased channel change time. Also, dedicating the second transport stream to picture-in-picture would have been a desirable feature because it allows a viewer to keep track of what is happening on two channels at once, and if the second data stream is available for viewing, it is very simple to display it in the picture-in-picture area.

Regarding claim 28 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 26, and further disclose further comprising: using a third tuner and a third decoder to access and decode a third transport stream associated with a third frequency; and displaying in a picture-in-picture area of a display screen, a program associated with said third transport stream** (Reitmeier, column 4, lines 34-37, and West, paragraphs [0047] and [0081]). This claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 27.

Regarding claim 29 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 26, and further disclose wherein said second program is a predicted next program further comprising: using a third tuner and a third decoder to access and decode a third program wherein said third program is a predicted next program** (Reitmeier, column 3, lines 18-25).

Regarding claim 30 the combined teachings of Reitmeier and West disclose **a method as described in claim 1, and further disclose wherein said digital content comprises a plurality of images** (Figs. 4A – 4D, paragraphs [0085]-[0088]). West discloses buffering up to several

minutes worth of video content, which would include a plurality of images. Therefore, this claim is rejected on the same grounds as claim 1.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA TAYLOR whose telephone number is (571)270-3755. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-5pm, M-F, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Josh Taylor/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2426

/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426